OMIG Self Disclosure Program
August 2012

Introduction

The New York State Office of Medicaid Inspector General (OMIG) originally issued self-disclosure guidance for Medicaid providers on March 12, 2009. OMIG developed the self-disclosure guide in consultation with health care providers and industry professionals to give providers an easy-to-use method for disclosing overpayments.

OMIG designed this approach to encourage providers to investigate and report matters that involve possible fraud, waste, abuse or inappropriate payment of funds that they identify through self-review, compliance programs, or internal controls that affect the state’s Medicaid program. This guide is designed to help the provider through the process, point out advantages of self-disclosure, offer a user-friendly mechanism, and make providers aware of regulatory compliance requirements.

Since its inception, the Self-Disclosure Program has been successful and utilized extensively by providers, benefiting both the providers and the Medicaid program. As a result of the OMIG Self-Disclosure Unit’s experience and feedback, the agency has made enhancements and had added resources to the process.

The function is now supplemented by utilizing the OMIGHMS PORTal, a Web-based site maintained by OMIG’s contracted agent, HMS, Inc. The PORTal is an online mechanism used by OMIGHMS to issue various projects and process recoveries in a simple, effective, and user-friendly electronic medium. OMIG has revised this guide to reflect the consolidation of the self-disclosure function within the agency to better serve the providers and the New York State Medicaid program.

Regulatory Authority

OMIG’s Self-Disclosure Program, is in accordance with OMIG’s enabling legislation:

[T]o, in conjunction with the commissioner, develop protocols to facilitate the efficient self-disclosure and collection of overpayments and monitor such collections, including those that are self-disclosed by providers. The provider's good faith self-disclosure of overpayments may be considered as a mitigating factor in the determination of an administrative enforcement action. N.Y. PUB. HEALTH LAW § 32(18).

Self-disclosure and repayment of overpayments within 60 days of identification has become mandatory for Medicare and Medicaid providers under section 6402(a) of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 and a mandatory part of New York’s compliance programs under 18 NYCRR 521.
When to Disclose

Providers should self-disclose after they fully investigate and confirm that an overpayment exists. OMIG's self-disclosure protocol assists and enables providers in making disclosures directly to OMIG or through its contracted agent HMS, which maintains the online OMIG PORTal. Through this process, providers who identify that they received reimbursement to which they were not entitled, whether caused by mistake, fraud, or accident, must disclose the parameters of the problem, cause, and its potential Medicaid financial impact in accordance with the self-disclosure guidelines.

In addition, the federal Affordable Care Act requires providers to identify, self-disclose, explain, and repay overpayments within 60 calendar days of identification of the overpayment regardless of the financial threshold of participation in the Medicaid program.

The statute at 42 U.S.C. §1320a-7k(d)(1), requires a person who has received an overpayment to:

1. report and return the overpayment to the Secretary, the State, an intermediary, a carrier, or a contractor, as appropriate, at the correct address; and
2. notify the Secretary, State, intermediary, carrier, or contractor to whom the overpayment was returned in writing of the reason for the overpayment.

Failure to timely report and return any Medicare and Medicaid overpayment can have severe consequences, including potential liability under the False Claims Act, as well as the imposition of civil monetary penalties and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Overpayment Reporting should occur when the following conditions are met:

1. Overpayment is NOT included in another, separate review or an audit being conducted by OMIG, vendors, or OIG.

2. Overpayment is NOT related to a broader state-initiated rate adjustment, cost settlement, or other broader payment adjustment mechanisms. (These include retroactive rate adjustments, charity care, cost reporting, etc.)

The repayment of simple, more routine occurrences of overpayment should continue through typical methods of resolution, which may include voiding or adjusting the amounts of claims.
The Process

Prior to contacting OMIG, the provider should fully investigate and determine the issue and prepare the disclosure including all the required information and documentation. Once an inappropriate payment is discovered, providers must determine whether the repayment warrants a self-disclosure or whether it would be better handled through administrative billing processes. Each incident must be considered on an individual basis. Factors to consider include: identification of the exact issue, the amount involved, any patterns or trends that the problem may demonstrate within the provider’s billing system, the extent of the period affected, the circumstances that led to the overpayment and whether or not the organization has an OMIG corporate integrity agreement (CIA) which requires self-disclosure.

The providers may choose to self-disclose using one of two methods:

1. Following the Self-Disclosure Submission Guidelines (see Attachment 1); or
2. Using the OMIG PORTal for electronic submission (see Attachment 2).

After receipt of the self-disclosure, the OMIG/HMS staff will consult with the provider and determine the most appropriate process for proceeding. OMIG/HMS staff will discuss the next steps which may include requesting additional information, verification of the overpayments and any regulatory clarification needed.

In the event that the provider is unable to determine if the self-disclosure issue resulted in non-compliance overpayments or has difficulty identifying the overpayments, OMIG staff can possibly assist the provider in the disposition of the issue. The provider, or its designated agent, may request data for the sole purpose of quantifying and validating a potential overpayment (see Attachment 3 – Data Request from Providers).

The use of statistical sampling must be approved by OMIG and all documentation related to the review and extrapolation must be submitted to OMIG for review and approval. Data may be provided by OMIG to establish the appropriate universe and sampling method upon request and approval by OMIG.

To submit a self-disclosure or request data to develop same please send to:

Via letter:

The Office of the Medicaid Inspector General
Attention: Self-Disclosure Unit
800 North Pearl Street
Albany, NY 12204

Via Email:

SelfDisclosures@omig.ny.gov
Access to Information

Providers are expected to promptly comply with OMIG requests to provide documents and information materially related to the disclosure and to speak with relevant individuals. The OMIG is committed to working with providers in a cooperative manner to obtain relevant facts and evidence without interfering with the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection. Discussions with the provider's compliance officer, counsel, or other staff may be necessary to obtain information and agreement to complete the disclosure in a timely manner.

Access to Data

All documentation and data must be protected for confidentiality under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) by the provider and its representatives (staff, lawyer, or contractor). The US Department of Health and Human Services' HIPAA guidance states that: The "Privacy Rule" requires that a covered entity obtain satisfactory assurances from its business associate that the business associate will appropriately safeguard the protected health information it receives or creates on behalf of the covered entity. The satisfactory assurances must be submitted in writing to OMIG, whether in the form of a contract or other agreement between the covered entity and the business associate.

Restitution

All provider self-disclosures are subject to a thorough OMIG/HMS review to determine whether the amount identified is accurate. While repayment is encouraged and accepted as early in the process as possible, and will be credited toward the final settlement amount, the OMIG will not accept money, voids, and adjustments as full and final payment for self-disclosures prior to finalizing the review process.

Once a repayment amount has been established, assuming full repayment has not previously been made, the OMIG expects the provider to reimburse the State of New York for the overpayment. Providers interested in extended repayment terms due to hardship will be required to submit audited financial statements and/or other documentation to assist the OMIG in making that determination. Once the repayment has been finalized, the OMIG will issue a letter indicating closure of the matter.

Self-disclosure limitations

The OMIG Self-Disclosure Program is designed to report and recover overpayments due back to the Medicaid program. Depending on the nature of the issue, the OMIG's staff may refer the matter through established audit or investigation processes or to other state agencies.
Underpayments detected in the process or otherwise are not to be offset in the self-disclosure process. Underpayments must be re-billed to eMedNY and claims are subject to system edits and verifications. Time-barred claims are pended and reviewed by the Office of Health Insurance Programs (OHIP) for disposition and consideration for payment.
NYS Office of Medicaid Inspector General (OMIG)
Self-Disclosure Submission Guidelines

A self-disclosure submission requires both a letter and a claim file(s) of impacted Medicaid claims.

Submission Letter
Complete description of circumstances surrounding the disclosure including:
- Provider name
- Medicaid MMIS ID and NPI number of the billing provider
- The error that occurred
- How the error was found
- Any relevant facts including total amount billed and amount of overpayment by Medicaid
- Identify the time period the claims error encompasses
- Actions taken to stop the error and prevent recurrence
- Personnel involved in the error occurrences, those who discovered the problem, and those involved in rectifying the problem
- Legal and Medicaid program rules implicated
- Disclosure contact person name, phone number, and both correspondence and email addresses

File of claims
Enclose a CD containing an encrypted, password-protected Access, Excel, or tab delimited txt (with file structure) file of claims billed to Medicaid. Please notify OMIG of the password via email or phone call. Do not e-mail the data.

Data needed for each claim line is as follows:
- Claim Reference Number (CRN) or Transaction Control Number (TCN)
- Medicaid MMIS ID
- NPI number of billing provider
- Medicaid group ID number (applicable if only submitted on claim)
- Last name of Medicaid patient
- First name of Medicaid patient
- Medicaid ID of patient (CIN - 8 characters)
- If applicable, Patient Account Number
- If applicable, Medical Record Number
- Date of service (not the date billed)
- Rate or Procedure code
- Amount paid to provider by Medicaid
- Amount overpaid by Medicaid

Please do not send a check for overpayment or void/adjust your claims

After OMIG reviews all disclosure submission material, you will receive a final letter indicating the overpayment dollar amount and the procedure for remitting payment. If the submitted claim data does not materially match OMIG's payment data, you will be contacted before a final letter is issued.

All self-disclosure correspondence and claim files claims should be sent to:

NYS Office of Medicaid Inspector General
Self-Disclosure Unit
800 North Pearl St.
Albany, NY 12204-1822

If you have any questions, please email to SelfDisclosures@omig.ny.gov or call 518-473-3782 for assistance.
Guidelines for Provider Overpayment Reporting

The Office of the Medicaid Inspector General Provider Overpayment Reporting Terminal (OMIG PORTal) streamlines the reporting, repayment, and tracking of provider-identified Medicaid overpayments. The platform will act as a conduit to communicate overpayment issues to OMIG and ensure compliance with federal and state regulations regarding overpayment identification and repayment.

Examples of issues appropriate for reporting include, but are not limited to:

- **Routine errors**
  - Overpayments resulting from incorrect reporting of third-party payments, e.g., balance billing
  - Medicare coinsurance reporting with no reported Medicare paid amount
  - Multiple overpayments resulting from billing lab services provided during an inpatient stay
  - Overpayment resulting from billing an emergency room visit included in an inpatient stay
  - Overpayments resulting from billing incorrect ICD-9 assignment

- **Systemic errors**
  - Inability to reprocess adjustment(s) through the MMIS (eMedNY)

**Overpayment Reporting should occur when the following conditions are met:**

1. Overpayment is NOT included in another separate review or an audit being conducted by OMIG, vendors, or OIG.

2. Overpayment is NOT related to a broader state-initiated rate adjustment, cost settlement, or other broader payment adjustment mechanisms. (These include retroactive rate adjustments, charity care, cost reporting, etc.)

**Reporting Options**

OMIG/HMS Provider Portal (https://ecenter.hmsy.com/shr/Controller) – Ideal for ongoing, routine self-reporting. To reduce data entry requirements, OMIG and HMS have developed a module in the Provider Portal to accept batch uploads. Please contact HMS at 518-724-7820 for questions regarding registration or the self-reporting process.
Self-Disclosure
Data Request from Providers

• Any request for data must be in writing and come from the provider or their designated agent

• This letter should provide assurances to the OMIG that the “business associate” of the provider has entered into a HIPAA-compliant agreement with the provider.

• The request must fully explain the issue or problem they are trying to address

• The request must fully define the universe of claims to be pulled

• OMIG will pull the universe

• If sampling is to be used to develop the overpayment, OMIG will pull the sample

• Upon completion of review, provider/agent must submit all work papers and finding for OMIG review

• If required, OMIG will do extrapolation
Send comments to Susan G. Queen, Ph.D., HRSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 14-33, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Jane Harrison, Director, Division of Policy Review and Coordination.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request
Periodically, the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) publishes abstracts of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of the clearance requests submitted to OMB for review, call the HRSA Reports Clearance Office on (301) 443-1129.

The following request has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995:

Proposed Project: Application for NHSC Recruitment and Retention Assistance (In Use Without Approval)
The National Health Service Corps (NHSC) of the HRSA’s Bureau of Primary Health Care assists underserved communities through the development, recruitment, and retention of primary health care clinicians dedicated to serving people in health professional shortage areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of report</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses per respondent</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Total Burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Application for NHSC Recruitment and Retention Assistance submitted by sites or clinicians requests information on the practice site, sponsoring agency, recruitment contact, staffing levels, service users, site's 5-year infant mortality or low birth rate averages, and next nearest site. The Information on the application is used for determining eligibility of sites and to verify the need for NHSC providers. Sites must submit applications annually or when they need a provider.

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of this notice to: Wendy A. Taylor, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

Jane Harrison, Director, Division of Policy Review and Coordination.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of Inspector General

Publication of the OIG’s Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol

AGENCY: Office of Inspector General (OIG), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Federal Register notice sets forth the OIG’s recently issued Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol. This Self-Disclosure Protocol offers health care providers specific steps, including a detailed audit methodology, that may be undertaken if they wish to work openly and cooperatively with the OIG to efficiently quantify a particular problem and, ultimately, promote a higher level of ethical and lawful conduct throughout the health care industry.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ted Acosta, Office of Counsel to the Inspector General, (202) 619-2078.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OIG has long stressed the role of the health care industry in combating health care fraud, and believes that health care providers can play a cooperative role in identifying and voluntarily disclosing program abuses. The OIG’s use of voluntary self-disclosure programs, for example, is premised on a belief that health care providers must be willing to police themselves, correct underlying problems and work with the Government to resolve these matters. Based on insights gained from a pilot program undertaken as part of Operation Restore Trust, discussions with the provider community and the growing need for an effective disclosure mechanism, the OIG has now developed a more open-ended process, or protocol, for making a disclosure and allowing a health care provider to cooperative work with the OIG. Unlike the previous voluntary disclosure pilot programs, this self-disclosure protocol gives detailed guidance to the provider on what information is appropriate to include as part of an investigative report and how to conduct an audit of the matter, while setting no limitations on the conditions under which a health care provider may disclose information to the OIG.

A reprint of the OIG’s Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol follows.

Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol

I. Introduction

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the United States Department of
Health and Human Services (HHS) relies heavily upon the health care industry to help identify and resolve matters that adversely affect the Federal health care programs (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7(b)(1)). The OIG believes that, as participants in the Federal health care programs, health care providers have an ethical and legal duty to ensure the integrity of their dealings with these programs. This duty includes an obligation to take measures, such as instituting a compliance program, to detect and prevent fraudulent, abusive and wasteful activities. It also encompasses the need to implement specific procedures and mechanisms to examine and resolve instances of non-compliance with program requirements. Whether as a result of voluntary self-assessment or in response to external forces, health care providers must be prepared to investigate such instances, assess the potential losses suffered by the Federal health care programs, and make full disclosure to the appropriate authorities. To encourage providers to make voluntary disclosures, the OIG issues this Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol (Protocol).

The concept of voluntary self-disclosure is not new to the OIG. For many years, the OIG has worked informally with providers and suppliers that came forward to cooperate with OIG to resolve billing, marketing or quality of care problems. In 1995, as part of the Operation Restore Trust (ORT) Initiative, HHS and the Department of Justice (DOJ) announced a voluntary disclosure program, which embraced OIG's longstanding policy favoring voluntary self-disclosure. The demonstration program was developed in coordination with representatives of the OIG, DOJ, various United States Attorney's Offices, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA). The pilot program was limited to five States (New York, Florida, Illinois, Texas and California) and four different types of providers (home health agencies, skilled nursing facilities, durable medical equipment suppliers, and hospice providers). It provided the participating entities with a formal mechanism for disclosing and seeking the resolution of matters relating to the Medicare and Medicaid programs. In 1997, the pilot voluntary disclosure program was concluded. While there was limited participation in the pilot, the OIG gained valuable insight into the variables influencing the decision to make a disclosure to the Government.

The OIG believes it must continue encouraging the health care industry to conduct voluntary self-evaluations and providing viable opportunities for self-disclosure. By establishing this Protocol, the OIG removes its commitment to promote an environment of openness and cooperation. The Protocol has no rigid requirements or limitations. Rather, it provides the OIG's views on what are the appropriate elements of an effective investigative and audit working plan to address instances of non-compliance, as well as the potential for conducting a limited assessment of the practice under OIG review, rather than incur the expense of a comprehensive audit. In such cases, an audit that conforms to the Protocol's guidelines may be appropriate only in instances where a preliminary assessment suggests the provider has in fact engaged in the practices under OIG scrutiny.

II. The Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol

Unlike the earlier pilot program, there are no pre-disclosure requirements, applications for admission or preliminary qualifying characteristics that must be met. The Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol is open to all health care providers, whether individuals or entities, and is not limited to any particular industry, medical specialty or type of service. While the OIG does not set out the terms of the self-assessment will be required, the OIG expects the commitment of the health care provider to disclose specific information in exchange for specific self-evaluative steps relating to the disclosed matter. In contrast to the pilot disclosure program, the OIG conducts a national review of a particular billing or practice, providers should consider the option of conducting a limited assessment of the practice under OIG review, rather than incur the expense of a comprehensive audit. In such cases, an audit that conforms to the Protocol's guidelines may be appropriate only in instances where a preliminary assessment suggests the provider has in fact engaged in the practices under OIG scrutiny.

The OIG's principal purpose in producing the Protocol is to provide guidance to health care providers that decide voluntarily to disclose irregularities in their dealings with the Federal health care programs. Because a provider's decision to disclose anything from a simple error to outright fraud, the OIG cannot reasonably make firm commitments as to how a particular disclosure will be resolved or the specific benefit that will ensue to the disclosing entity. In our experience, however, opening lines of communication with, and making full disclosure to, the investigative agency at an early stage generally benefits the individual or company. In short, the Protocol can help a health care provider initiate with the OIG at a dialogue directed at resolving its potential liabilities.

The decision as to whether the OIG's suggested Protocol rests exclusively with the provider. While the OIG can offer only limited guidance on what is inherently a case-specific judgement, there are several considerations that should influence the decision. First, a provider that uncovers an ongoing fraud scheme within its organization immediately should contact the OIG, but should not follow the Protocol's suggested steps to investigate or quantify the scope of the problem. If the provider follows the Protocol in this type of situation without prior consultation with the OIG, there is a substantial risk that the Government's subsequent investigation will be compromised.

Second, the OIG anticipates that a provider will apply the Protocol's suggested steps only after an initial assessment substantiates there is a problem with non-compliance with program requirements. The initial identification of potential risk areas should be less intensive and need not conform to the Protocol's suggested procedures. Similarly, when the OIG conducts a national review of a particular billing practice, providers should consider the option of conducting a limited assessment of the practice under OIG review, rather than incur the expense of a comprehensive audit. In such cases, an audit that conforms to the Protocol's guidelines may be appropriate only in instances where a preliminary assessment suggests the provider has in fact engaged in the practices under OIG scrutiny.

The Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol is intended to facilitate the resolution of only matters that, in the provider's reasonable assessment, are potentially violative of Federal criminal, civil or administrative laws. Matters exclusively involving overpayments or errors that do not suggest that violations of law have occurred should be brought directly to the attention of the entity (e.g., a contractor such as a carrier or an intermediary) that processes claims and issues payment on behalf of the Government agency responsible for the particular Federal health care program (e.g., HCFA for matters involving Medicare). The program contractors are responsible for processing the refund and will review the circumstances surrounding the initial overpayment. If
the contractor concludes that the overpayment raises concerns about the integrity of the provider, the matter may be referred to the OIG. Accordingly, the provider's initial decision of where to refer a matter involving non-compliance with program requirements should be made carefully.

The OIG is not bound by any findings made by the disclosing provider under the Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol and is not obligated to resolve the matter in any particular manner. Nevertheless, the OIG will work closely with providers that structure their disclosures in accordance with the Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol in an effort to coordinate any investigatory steps or other activities necessary to reach an effective and prompt resolution. It is important to note that, upon review of the provider's disclosure submission and/or reports, the OIG may conclude that the disclosed matter warrants a referral to DOJ for consideration under its civil and/or criminal authorities. Alternatively, the provider may request the participation of a representative of DOJ or a local United States Attorney's Office in settlement discussions. In order to resolve potential liability under the False Claims Act or other laws. In either case, the OIG will report on the provider's involvement and level of cooperation throughout the disclosure process to any other Government agencies affected by the disclosed matter.

III. Voluntary Disclosure Submission

The disclosing provider will be expected to make a submission as follows:

A. Effective Disclosure

The disclosure must be made in writing and must be submitted to the Assistant Inspector General for Investigative Operations, Office of Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services, 330 Independence Avenue, SW, Cohen Building, Room 5409, Washington, DC 20201. Submissions by telecopier, facsimile or other electronic media will not be accepted.

B. Basic Information

The submission should include the following—

1. The name, address, provider identification number(s) and tax identification number(s) of the disclosing health care provider. If the provider is an entity that is owned, controlled or is otherwise part of a system or network, include a description or diagram describing the pertinent relationships and the names and addresses of any related entities, as well as any affected corporate divisions, departments or branches. Additionally, provide the name and address of the disclosing entity's designated representative for purposes of the voluntary disclosure.

2. Indicate whether the provider has knowledge that the matter is under current inquiry by a Government agency or contractor. If the provider has knowledge of a pending inquiry, identify any such Government entity or individual representatives involved. The provider must also disclose whether it is under investigation or other inquiry for any other matters relating to a Federal health care program and provide similar information relating to those other matters.

3. A full description of the nature of the matter being disclosed, including the type of claim, transaction or other conduct giving rise to the matter, the names of entities and individuals believed to be implicated and an explanation of their roles in the matter, and the relevant periods involved.

4. The type of health care provider implicated and any provider billing numbers associated with the matter disclosed. Include the Federal health care programs affected, including Government contractors such as carriers, intermediaries and other third-party payers.

5. The reasons why the disclosing provider believes that a violation of Federal criminal, civil or administrative law may have occurred.

6. A certification by the health care provider or, in the case of an entity, an authorized representative on behalf of the disclosing entity stating that, to the best of the individual's knowledge, the submission contains truthful information and is based on a good faith effort to bring the matter to the Government's attention for the purpose of resolving any potential liabilities to the Government.

C. Substantive Information

As part of its participation in the disclosure process, the disclosing health care provider will be expected to conduct an internal investigation and a self-assessment, and then report its findings to the OIG. The internal review may occur after the initial disclosure of the matter. The OIG will generally agree, for a reasonable period of time, to forego an investigation of the matter if the provider agrees that it will conduct the review in accordance with the Internal Investigation Guidelines and the Self-Assessment Guidelines set forth below.

IV. Internal Investigation Guidelines

All disclosures to the OIG under the Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol should include a report based on an Internal Investigation conducted by the health care provider. While a provider is free to discuss its preliminary findings with the OIG prior to completion of its investigation, the matter cannot be resolved until a comprehensive assessment has been completed pursuant to the following guidelines:

A. Nature and Extent of the Improper or Illegal Practice

A voluntary disclosure report should demonstrate that a full examination of the practice has been conducted. The report should contain a written narrative that—

1. Identifies the potential causes of the incident or practice (e.g., intentional conduct, lack of internal controls, circumvention of corporate procedures or Government regulations);

2. Describes the incident or practice in detail, including how the incident or practice arose and continued;

3. Identifies the division, departments, branches or related entities involved and/or affected;

4. Identifies the impact on, and risks to, health, safety, or quality of care posed by the matter disclosed, with sufficient information to allow the OIG to assess the immediacy of the impact and risks, the steps that should be taken to address them, as well as the measures taken by the disclosing entity;

5. Delineates the period during which the incident or practice occurred;

6. Identifies the corporate officials, employees or agents who knew of, encouraged, or participated in, the incident or practice and any individuals who may have been involved in detecting the matter;

7. Identifies the corporate officials, employees or agents who should have known of, but failed to detect, the incident or practice based on their job responsibilities; and

8. Estimates the monetary impact of the incident or practice upon the Federal health care programs, pursuant to the Self-Assessment Guidelines below.

B. Discovery and Response to the Matter

The internal investigation report should relate the circumstances under which the disclosed matter was discovered and fully document the measures taken upon discovery to address the problem and prevent future abuses. In this regard, the report should—
1. Describe how the incident or practice was identified, and the origin of the information that led to its discovery.
2. Describe the entity's efforts to investigate and document the incident or practice (e.g., use of internal or external legal, audit or consultative resources).
3. Describe in detail the chronology of the investigative steps taken in connection with the entity's internal inquiry into the disclosed matter including the following—
   (a) A list of all individuals interviewed, including each individual's business address and telephone number, and their positions and titles in the relevant entities during both the relevant period and at the time the disclosure is being made. For all individuals interviewed, provide the dates of those interviews and the subject matter of each interview, as well as summaries of the interview. The health care provider will be responsible for advising the individual to be interviewed which information the individual provides may, in turn, be provided to the OIG. Additionally, include a list of those individuals who refused to be interviewed and provide the reasons cited;
   (b) A description of files, documents, and records reviewed with sufficient particularity to allow their retrieval, if necessary; and
   (c) A summary of auditing activity undertaken and a summary of the documents relied upon in support of the estimation of losses. These documents and information must accompany the report, unless the calculation of losses is undertaken pursuant to the Self-Assessment Guidelines, which contain specific reporting requirements.
4. Describe the actions by the health care provider to stop the inappropriate conduct.
5. Describe any related health care businesses affected by the inappropriate conduct in which the health care provider is involved, all efforts by the health care provider to prevent a recurrence of the incident or practice in the affected division as well as in any related health care entities (e.g., new accounting or internal control procedures, increased internal audit efforts, increased supervision by higher management or through training).
6. Describe any disciplinary action taken against corporate officials, employees and agents as a result of the disclosed matter.
7. Describe appropriate notices, if applicable, provided to other Government agencies, e.g., Securities and Exchange Commission and Internal Revenue Service in connection with the disclosed matter. (The OIG should also determine whether a recommendation to DOJ for resolution of the provider's False Claims Act or other liability. Among the issues that should be addressed in the plan are the following—
   1. Review Objective—There should be a statement clearly articulating the objective of the review and the review procedure or combination of procedures applied to achieve the objective.
   2. Review Population—The plan should identify the population, which is the group about which information is needed. In addition, there should be an explanation of the methodology used to develop the population and the basis for this determination.
   3. Sources of Data—The plan should provide a full description of the source of the information upon which the review will be based, including the legal or other standards to be applied, the sources of payment data and the documents that will be relied upon (e.g., employment contracts, rental agreements, etc.).
   4. Personnel Qualifications—The plan should identify the names and titles of those individuals involved in any aspect of the self-assessment, including statisticians, accountants, auditors, consultants and medical reviewers, and describe their qualifications.
8. Sample Elements
If the provider, in consultation with the OIG, determines that the financial review will be based upon a sample, the work plan should also include the sampling plan as follows—
   1. Sampling Unit—The plan should define the sampling unit, which is any of the designated elements that comprise the population of interest.
   2. Sampling Frame—The plan should identify the sampling frame, which is the totality of the sampling units from which the sample will be selected. In addition, the plan should describe how the audit population differs from the sampling frame and what effect this difference has on conclusions reached as a result of the audit.
   3. Sample Size—The size of the sample must be determined through the use of a sample. Accordingly, the plan should include a description of both the probe sample and the full sample. At a minimum, the full sample must be designed to generate an estimate with a ninety (90) percent level of confidence and a precision of twenty-five (25) percent. The probe sample must contain at least thirty (30) sample units and cannot be used as part of the full sample.
   4. Random Numbers—Both the probe sample and the sample must be selected through random numbers. The source of...
the random numbers used must be shown in the sampling plans. The OIG strongly recommends the use of its Office of Audit Services' Statistical Sampling Software, also known as "RAT-STATS," which is currently available free of charge through the Internet at "www.hhs.gov/ocrreg/ oas/ratstat.html."

5. Sample Design—Unless the disclosing provider demonstrates the need to use a different sample design, the self-assessment should use simple random sampling. If necessitated, the provider may use stratified or multistage sampling. Details about the strata, stages and clusters should be included in the description of the audit plan.

6. Estimate of Review Time per Sample Item—The plan should estimate the time expended to locate the sample items and the staff hours expended to review a sample item.

7. Characteristics Measure by the Sample—The sampling plan should identify the characteristics used for testing each sample item. For example, in a sample drawn to estimate the value of overpayments due to duplicate payments, the characteristics under consideration are the conditions that must exist for a sample item to be a duplicate. The amount of the duplicate payment is the measurement of the overpayment. The sampling plan must also contain the decision rules for determining whether a sample item entirely meets the criterion for having characteristics or only partially meets the criterion.

8. Missing Sample Items—The sampling plan must include a discussion of how missing sample items were handled and the rationale.

9. Other Evidence—Although sample results should stand on their own in terms of reliability, sample results may be combined with other evidence in arriving at specific conclusions. If appropriate, indicate what other substantiating or corroborating evidence was developed.

10. Estimation Methodology—Because the general purpose of the review is to estimate the monetary losses to the Federal health care programs, the methodology to be used must be variables sampling using the difference estimator. To estimate the amount implicated in the disclosed matter, the provider must use the mean point estimate. The statistical estimates must be reported using a ninety (90) percent confidence level. The use of RAT-STATS to calculate the estimates is strongly recommended.

11. Reporting Results—The sampling plan should indicate how the results will be reported at the conclusion of the review. In preparing the report, enough details must be provided to clearly indicate what estimates are reported.

D. Certification

Upon completion of the self-assessment, the disclosing health care provider, or in the case of an entity its authorized representative, must submit to the OIG a certification stating that, to the best of the individual's knowledge, the report contains truthful information and is based on a good faith effort to assist OIG in its inquiry and verification of the disclosed matter.

VI. OIG's Verification

Upon receipt of a health care provider’s disclosure submission, the OIG will begin its verification of the disclosure information. The extent of the OIG’s verification effort will depend, in large part, upon the quality and thoroughness of the internal investigative and self-assessment reports. Matters uncovered during the verification process, which are outside of the scope of the matter disclosed to the OIG, may be treated as new matters outside the Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol.

To facilitate the OIG's verification and validation processes, the OIG must have access to all audit work papers and other supporting documents without the assertion of privileges or limitations on the information produced. In the normal course of verification, the OIG will not request production of written communications subject to the attorney-client privilege. There may be documents or other materials, however, that may be covered by the work product doctrine, but which the OIG believes are critical to resolving the disclosure. The OIG is prepared to discuss with provider's counsel ways to gain access to the underlying information without the need to waive the protections provided by an appropriately asserted claim of privilege.

VII. Payments

Because of the need to verify the information provided by a disclosing health provider, the OIG will not accept payments of presumed overpayments determined by the health care provider prior to the completion of the OIG's inquiry. However, the provider is encouraged to place the overpayment amount in an interest-bearing escrow account to minimize further losses. While the matter is under OIG inquiry, the disclosing provider must refrain from taking payment relating to the disclosed matter to the Federal health care programs or their contractors without the OIG’s prior consent. If the OIG consents, the disclosing provider will be required to agree in writing that the acceptance of the payment does not constitute the Government’s agreement as to the amount of losses suffered by the programs as a result of the disclosed matter, and does not affect in any manner the Government’s ability to pursue criminal, civil or administrative remedies or to obtain additional fines, damages or penalties for the matters disclosed.

VIII. Cooperation and Removal from the Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol

The disclosing entity's diligent and good faith cooperation throughout the entire process is essential. Accordingly, the OIG expects to receive documents and information from the entity that relate to the disclosed matter without the need to resort to compulsory methods. If a provider fails to work in good faith with the OIG to resolve the disclosed matter, that lack of cooperation will be considered an aggravating factor when the OIG assesses the appropriate resolution of the matter. Similarly, the intentional submission of false or otherwise untruthful information, as well as the Intentional omission of relevant information, will be referred to DOJ or other Federal agencies and could, in itself, result in criminal and/or civil sanctions, as well as exclusion from participation in the Federal health care programs.
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Cancer Institute: Opportunities for Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADAs) for the Joint Evaluation and Development of Methods to Generate and Expand In-Vitro Modified Dendritic Cell Populations in Order to Ellicit Phenotype Specific Immune Responses

The NCI is looking for CRADA Collaborators to jointly develop this dendritic cell immunology technology.

AGENCY: National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, PHS, DHHS.